Alternative Internal Reporting (Whistleblower) Policy
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1. **Objective**

The purpose of this policy is to:

- Help detect and address wrongdoing and protect people who report actual or suspected wrongdoing;
- Help provide workers with a supportive work environment in which they feel able to raise issues of legitimate concern to them and to the ACS; and
- Provide suitable avenues for reporting of matters that may cause loss to the ACS or damage the ACS’s reputation.

The policy addresses requirements in the recently enacted amendments to the Corporations Act 2001 Part 9.4AAA Section 1317 on whistleblowing.

2. **Scope**

This policy applies to people that wish to alert the ACS about wrongdoing who:

- Are workers for ACS (i.e. employees, volunteers, consultants and contractors),
- Are members, or
- Who deal with the ACS administration (e.g. supplier or service provider to the ACS).

*Scope limitation:*

This policy is not intended to replace other reporting structures such as those for dispute resolution, grievances, equal opportunity, discrimination, harassment or bullying. An exception to this is where the issue is a serious matter but existing reporting systems have failed to process the issue or have processed it in an inappropriate, unfair, wrong or biased manner.

2.1 **Acronyms and Definitions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym / Word</th>
<th>Description / Definition for the purposes of this Policy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whistleblowing</td>
<td>&quot;The deliberate, voluntary disclosure or alert about individual or ACS wrongdoing where the disclosure is made by a person who has or had privileged access to data, events or information about an actual, suspected or anticipated wrongdoing within or by the ACS that is within the ability of the ACS to control.&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Wrongdoing

Unacceptable conduct (regardless of whether it is referred to as wrongdoing, malpractice etc.), includes any conduct that:

- Is dishonest, fraudulent or corrupt;
- Is illegal, such as theft, drug sale or use, violence, harassment, criminal damage to property or other breaches of state or federal legislation;
- Is unethical, such as dishonestly altering company records or engaging in questionable accounting practices or wilfully breaching the ACS’s code of conduct or other ethical statements;
- Is potentially damaging to the ACS or an ACS person, such as unsafe work practices or substantial wasting of resources;
- May cause financial loss to the ACS or damage its reputation or be otherwise detrimental to the ACS’s interests; or
- Involves any other kind of serious impropriety.

Alert

An alert is a report of suspected wrongdoing.

2.2 References

AS 8004:2003 Whistleblower protection programs for entities
AS 8001:2008 Fraud and corruption control
Best Practice extracts from Federal and State Government agencies (e.g. Ombudsman, Audit Office etc for example, the NSW Audit Office Fraud Control Improvement Kit)
Corporations Act 2001

3. Policy Statement

3.1 Commitment

The Australian Computer Society (ACS) is committed to the highest standards of legal, ethical and moral behaviour and will not tolerate unethical, unlawful or undesirable conduct. The ACS recognises that the most common method of detecting fraud is by notification of the wrongdoing by its own workers or an alert from an external party.

This policy documents the ACS’s intent at maintaining an environment in which people are able to report their concerns about any serious instances of wrongdoing that they believe may be occurring in the name of the ACS without fear of retaliatory action.

This policy complements normal reporting and communication channels within the ACS. It provides an alternative means of reporting alleged or suspected wrongdoing where the usual channels appear to have failed or may be inappropriate.

3.2 How wrongdoing may be reported

3.2.1 Normal reporting channels favoured

Any worker who detects or has reasonable grounds for suspecting wrongdoing is encouraged to raise any concerns with their immediate manager through normal reporting channels. The manager must report the allegation to either, the Chief Executive Officer or the Chief Financial Officer who are responsible for ensuring that the matter is properly dealt with.
may include appointing an investigator independent of the area of operations to inquire into
the matter raised. Alternatively, it may be appropriate for the matter to continue to be dealt
with by the line manager.

3.2.2 Alternative internal reporting channel
If the person raising the alert does not believe reporting to their immediate manager through
normal reporting channels is appropriate given the circumstances of the wrongdoing, under
this policy the report may be made directly to the designated alternative internal report
receiving officers:
- Chief Executive Officer
- Chief Financial Officer

Where the alternative internal report receiving officer is of the view that protection should be
afforded to the person raising the alert, the alternative report receiving officer will appoint a
Whistleblower Protection Officer.

3.2.3 Alternative external reporting channel
In the case that the above two reporting options are considered inappropriate, people may
contact an external alternative report receiving officer:
- The Chair of the Business Assurance Committee – BACChair@acs.org.au

This reporting avenue could be used where the person providing the alert reasonably believes
that any of the avenues involving the ACS management would not provide an independent
assessment and/or an independent investigation and that the allegation ought to be handled
external to the ACS management. This avenue is generally only appropriate in the instance of
alleged or suspected wrongdoing involving senior ACS management.

3.2.4 Wrongdoing alert email address
Alerts about wrongdoing can be sent by email to governance@acs.org.au. The governance
team monitors this email account and determine the most appropriate reporting channels for
the alert report to be resolved including in accordance with 3.2.2 and 3.2.3 above.

3.2.5 Main switchboard wrongdoing alerts
Wrongdoing alerts to the main switchboard are forwarded to an internal report receiving
officer (Chief Executive Officer or Chief Financial Officer) or upon request, to the external
report receiving officer (the Chair of the Business Assurance Committee).

4. Roles and Responsibilities

4.1 Protective measures and alert handling

4.1.1 Whistleblower Protection Officers

A Whistleblower Protection Officer is an Executive or Senior Manager in the ACS who is
appointed by either an internal or external alternative report receiving officer. The person
appointed must not be a person who is a close colleague of the whistleblower, or of a person
suspected of wrongdoing, and must not be from the same area of business as the area
affected by the possible wrongdoing.

The role of a Whistleblower Protection Officer is to safeguard the interests of the
whistleblower in terms of this policy. The Whistleblower Protection Officer has direct,
unfettered access to independent financial, legal and operational advisers as required.
4.1.2 Designated internal or external alternative report receiving officers

Aside from receiving alerts of possible wrongdoing, the alternative report receiving officers have an overriding governance role that has the following features:

- Be satisfied that the circumstances raised by the alert are appropriate to invoke this policy,
- Appoint an appropriate and independent person to inquire into and/or where appropriate, to investigate the suspicion raised,
- Be satisfied that the matter is handled confidentially, fairly, and appropriately.

The designated alternative report receiving officer is responsible for receiving alerts and appointing an investigator to inquire into the substance of reports should one be required. On the basis of sufficient evidence in support of the matters raised in an alert, the designated alternative report receiving officer determines whether to refer reports for further action, or refute these where evidence is insufficient or contrary to the suspicion. The Officer must ensure that the whistleblower is kept informed of the broad outcomes of the inquiry or investigation, subject to the considerations of privacy of those against whom the allegations are made.

The designated alternative internal report receiving officers will provide a report about the alternative reporting activities to the Business Assurance Committee at least annually or more frequently where the Business Assurance Committee deems it necessary.

The ACS aims to ensure that all workers are aware of whom the designated alternative report receiving officers are, and the alternative ways in which people can make contact to raise an alert about suspected wrongdoing.

4.1.3 Links to the Grievance procedure

This policy is concerned with disclosure of information and alerts about wrongdoing. This policy does not replace the grievance procedure, which is there for all workers to raise any matter they may have in relation to their work or their work environment, another person, or any decision affecting their employment.

4.1.4 Confidentiality of whistleblower identity and whistleblowing alerts

If a person makes a report of alleged or suspected wrongdoing under this policy the ACS will endeavour to protect that person’s identity from disclosure. This may not occur if confidentiality is not a practical option.

Generally, the ACS will not disclose the person’s identity unless:

- The worker making the report consents to the disclosure;
- The disclosure is required or authorised by law, and/or
- The disclosure is necessary to further the investigation.

Generally, reports made under this policy will be treated confidentiality. However, when a report is investigated it may be necessary to reveal its substance to people such as other ACS personnel, external persons involved in the investigation process and, in appropriate circumstances, law enforcement agencies. At some point in time it may also be necessary to disclose the fact and the substance of a report to the person who is the subject of the report. Although confidentiality is maintained, in some circumstances, the source of the reported issue may nevertheless be obvious to a person who is the subject of a report.

The ACS will also take reasonable precautions to store any records relating to a report of wrongdoing securely and to permit access by authorised persons only.
Unauthorised disclosure of information relating to a report, the identity of an ACS worker who has made a report of wrongdoing or information from which the identity of the reporting person could be inferred, will be regarded seriously and may result in disciplinary action, which may include dismissal.

4.1.5 Protection

The ACS is committed to protecting and respecting the rights of a person who reports wrongdoing in good faith. The ACS will not tolerate any retaliatory action or threats of retaliatory action against any person who has made or who is believed to have made a report of wrongdoing, or against that person’s colleagues, employer (if a contractor or consultant) or relatives or dependants. For example, the person must not be disadvantaged or victimised by having raised the alert or by any of the following instances of detrimental conduct:

- Dismissal,
- Demotion,
- Any form of harassment,
- Discrimination,
- Current or future bias,
- Alteration of a worker’s position or duties to that worker’s detriment,
- Harm or injury to a person including psychological harm,
- Damage to a person’s property, reputation, business or financial position and any other damage to a person,
- Threats of any of the above regardless of whether express or implied, conditional or unconditional.

Any such retaliatory action or victimisation in reprisal for a report being made under this policy will be treated as serious misconduct and will result in disciplinary action, which may include dismissal. If a person who has made a report believes retaliatory action or victimisation has occurred or been threatened, the person who had made the report has the right to make a submission through any of the reporting channels (Internal/External).

4.1.6 Disclosers implicated in wrongdoing

Even though a person who makes a report may be implicated in the wrongdoing, that person must not be subjected to any actual or threatened retaliatory action or victimisation in reprisal for making a report under this policy.

However, making a report will not necessarily shield the discloser from the consequences flowing from involvement in the wrongdoing itself. A person’s liability for their own conduct is not affected by their reporting of that conduct under this policy. However, in some circumstances, an admission may be a mitigating factor when considering disciplinary or other action.

4.1.7 Anonymous reporting

Anonymous alerts about wrongdoing are accepted under this policy. Further, anonymous reports of wrongdoing have significant limitations that inhibit a thorough and appropriate inquiry or investigation.

4.1.8 False reporting by a person purporting to be a whistleblower

Where it is shown that a person purporting to be a whistleblower has knowingly made a false report of wrongdoing, then that conduct itself will be considered a serious matter and that person may be subject to disciplinary action which may include dismissal. This is where the matters the reporter knows, or ought to know, have no substance.
4.1.9 Trivial or vexatious reports

This policy is intended to apply to reports of alleged or suspected wrongdoing which is serious in nature. The policy must not be used for trivial or vexatious matters (being matters that the reporter knows, or ought to know have no substance).

4.2 Investigation of alerts

All reports of alleged or suspected wrongdoing made under this policy will be properly assessed, and if appropriate, independently investigated with the objective of locating evidence that either substantiates or refutes the claims made by the whistleblower. The investigator will be responsible for ensuring the proper conduct of the investigation. The investigation will not be conducted by a person who may be the subject of the investigation or has inappropriate links or connections (actual or perceived) to the person(s) or practice(s) under investigation.

4.2.1 Investigator

An investigation officer is a suitably qualified person appointed by an alternative reporting officer (either internal or external). Investigations must be conducted in a fair and independent manner. This means investigators must be independent from the business unit concerned, the whistleblower and any person who is the subject of the report.

4.2.2 Communication with the whistleblower

The investigator will keep the Whistleblower Protection Officer informed of the broad progress of the investigation. The Whistleblower Protection Officer is responsible for keeping the whistleblower informed of the outcomes of the investigation into the alert subject to the considerations of privacy of those against whom the allegations have been made.

4.3 Management of a person against whom an alert is made

The ACS recognises that individuals against whom an alert is made must also be supported during the handling and investigation into the alert. The ACS takes reasonable steps to treat fairly the person who is the subject of an alert, particularly during the assessment and investigation process. In some circumstances, a support worker may be appointed from senior management to represent the interests of a person who has had claims of wrongdoing alleged against them (e.g. enable communications where a worker is stood down as a protective measure while investigation is undertaken).

Where a person is named by a whistleblower as being suspected of possible wrongdoing but preliminary inquiries determine that the suspicion is baseless or unfounded then no formal investigation is warranted. The whistleblower will be informed of this outcome and the matter laid to rest. The alternative report receiving officer will decide whether or not the person named in the suspicion should be informed that a suspicion had been raised and found to be baseless. This decision will be based on a desire to preserve the integrity of all people involved. It can enable workplace harmony to continue unfettered and it protects the whistleblower for the bona fide disclosure.

Where an inquiry or investigation does not substantiate the alert, the fact that the investigation has been carried out, the results of the investigation and the identity of the person who is the subject of the report must be handled confidentially.

Generally, the investigator must ensure that the person who is the subject of any wrongdoing alert:
• Is informed about the substance of the allegations;
• Is given the opportunity to answer the allegations before any investigation is finalised;
• Is informed about the substance of any adverse comments that may be included in any report arising from the investigation before it is finalised; and
• Has the person’s response set out fairly in the investigator’s report.

Where the allegations in a report have been investigated and the person who is the subject of the report is aware of the allegations or the fact of the investigation, the investigator must formally advise the person who is the subject of the disclosure of the outcome of the investigation.

The ACS will give its full support to a person who is the subject of an alert where the allegations are clearly wrong.